International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Industry 5.0 in Manufacturing: Enhancing Resilience and Responsibility through AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance, Quality Control, and Supply Chain Optimization

Rachid Ejjami[1], Khaoula Boussalham[2]

[1]Doctoral Candidate, Ecole des Ponts Paris Tech, France
[2]State Engineer in Logistics, MANITOU GROUP, France

Abstract
This integrative literature review investigates the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on manufacturing, focusing on AI-driven predictive maintenance, machine learning-based quality control, and AI-driven supply chain optimization. By examining current literature, the study highlights AI's potential to automate and revolutionize manufacturing operations, enhancing efficiency, resilience, and transparency. The study's conceptual framework is grounded in three primary pillars: AI-driven supply chain optimization, predictive analytics, and machine learning-based quality control, each contributing to the overall enhancement of manufacturing efficiency, resilience, and transparency. The methodology involves a comprehensive review of scholarly articles, reports, and academic publications, focusing on AI applications in predictive maintenance, quality control, and supply chain optimization. The analysis reveals significant improvements in operational efficiency and resilience due to AI, alongside concerns about biases, transparency, and implementation issues. The findings confirm AI's transformative potential in manufacturing but emphasize the necessity for ongoing supervision, regular audits, and the development of AI models capable of detecting and rectifying operational anomalies. The study proposes creating jobs such as AI Manufacturing Oversight Officer (AIMOO), AI Manufacturing Compliance Officer (AIMCO), and AI Manufacturing Quality Assurance Officer (AIMQAO) to ensure responsible AI utilization, maintaining the integrity and efficiency of manufacturing operations while addressing implementation challenges. The review concludes that AI is promising for transforming manufacturing; however, careful implementation is crucial to uphold operational integrity and resilience. Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies to evaluate AI's long-term impact, focus on addressing implementation concerns, and ensure fair and transparent integration of AI technologies. These findings have significant implications for practice and policy, underscoring the need for robust frameworks and regulatory measures to guide the effective use of AI in manufacturing.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Manufacturing, AI-driven predictive maintenance, machine learning-based quality control, AI-driven supply chain optimization, Operational efficiency, Transparency, Industry 5.0

Introduction

The fast advancement of AI technology in recent years has significantly impacted various industries, including manufacturing, which complex and interconnected activities have traditionally typified [1]. As manufacturing processes face increased expectations for efficiency and durability, AI algorithms such as machine learning-based quality control and AI-driven supply chain optimization emerge as viable solutions. These technologies are altering manufacturing processes, increasing speed, precision, and decision-making in ways that human practitioners cannot [2]. This study delves deeply into various AI technologies, focusing on their ability to automate and transform manufacturing operations, particularly in predictive maintenance, quality control, and supply chain optimization. AI can streamline predictive maintenance, quality control, and supply chain optimization, improving industrial efficiency and resilience while inspiring hope for the future of manufacturing management [3].

Predictive maintenance, a fundamental AI application, has revolutionized asset management in manufacturing by enabling real-time monitoring and preemptive maintenance scheduling, thereby reducing outages and improving operational efficiency [4]. Historically, maintenance was a labor-intensive process that was frequently plagued by errors due to the vast volume and complexity of the material being monitored and controlled. Predictive maintenance automates the monitoring and managing of maintenance tasks, improving accuracy and substantially expediting the process by reducing human error [5]. This study employs essential performance metrics, including accuracy, time efficiency, and error rates, to contrast predictive maintenance systems with conventional reactive maintenance methods. It aims to comprehensively analyze the operational benefits and potential constraints of predictive maintenance by examining its implementation in various manufacturing contexts, such as equipment management and production lines. The potential to enhance and streamline manufacturing management is demonstrated by the revolutionary influence of predictive maintenance on asset management and its use in enhancing the efficiency and precision of manufacturing operations [6].

Quality control is another substantial application of artificial intelligence in manufacturing. Machine learning models are frequently employed to guarantee product consistency and minimize defects [7]. This capability could revolutionize quality control procedures by providing insights that facilitate more informed and efficient decision-making. Still, implementing quality control based on machine learning poses significant challenges, particularly regarding the transparency of the algorithms used by these models and user data privacy [8]. The accuracy, impartiality, and trustworthiness of machine learning predictions are the primary focus of this study, mainly when they are utilized in critical manufacturing processes such as production monitoring, defect detection, and process optimization. The implications of machine learning predictions for fairness and operational integrity in manufacturing management include determining whether they are instruments for enhancing resilience and efficiency throughout the manufacturing process or perpetuating existing inefficiencies [9].

AI-driven supply chain optimization represents a substantial advancement in the application of artificial intelligence in manufacturing settings. Complex algorithms are employed by AI technologies to generate insights and recommendations that can expedite and enhance decision-making, thereby assisting supply chain managers in making more informed decisions [10]. This research evaluates the practicality of various technologies in real-world manufacturing operations, emphasizing their impact on the efficiency and quality of supply chain decisions. The study examines the levels of confidence and dependence on AI-driven technologies and their perceived impact on daily manufacturing management by collecting and analyzing qualitative data from supply chain experts. Integrating AI technologies into supply chain workflows enables them to adjust to current procedures while enhancing or maintaining supply chain standards by increasing efficiency, accuracy, and resilience [11].

Integrating AI technologies in manufacturing environments presents substantial challenges despite the anticipated advancements they will bring. The consequences of deploying such technology in susceptible environments, accountability for AI-assisted decisions, and AI algorithm transparency are among the primary concerns [12]. The technological and operational aspects of AI in the manufacturing domain are extensively examined in this paper to resolve these issues. It critically evaluates the difficult trade-off between the potential dangers to manufacturing operations and the advantages of this innovative technology, including improved efficiency and decision-making. The application of AI in manufacturing management raises concerns regarding the potential threat to operational integrity and fairness. However, it has the potential to advance these fundamental principles, albeit with inherent risks such as bias and a lack of transparency in AI integration into the manufacturing system [13].

The implications of AI in manufacturing environments extend beyond specific technical applications to influence broader systemic changes in manufacturing management, potentially altering the provision of manufacturing services and the maintenance of resilience [14]. This study investigates the potential future changes in manufacturing job positions and responsibilities that would necessitate ongoing AI-focused training and global disparities in AI adoption. The manufacturing sector's future trajectory of AI underscores the significance of meticulous application and control, the potential for increased resilience, and the threats these technologies could pose in extending existing manufacturing gaps. As a result, AI should be incorporated into manufacturing frameworks to enhance efficiency and resilience while simultaneously preparing the manufacturing profession for the substantial changes that AI is expected to bring. This approach ensures a balanced approach to technological development and manufacturing integrity [15].

Background

The integration of artificial intelligence into manufacturing systems represents a substantial shift from traditional methods to technologically advanced approaches. The evolution commenced in the late 20th century with the development of expert systems designed to automate manufacturing reasoning [16]. These early systems effectively pioneered the use of AI in manufacturing contexts by mimicking human decision-making capabilities through predefined principles, capturing the knowledge and reasoning processes of manufacturing experts to provide automated decision-making support [17]. The capabilities of AI in manufacturing have evolved beyond basic rule-based tasks to encompass intricate functions, including predictive analytics, machine learning-based quality control, and comprehensive maintenance analysis. The current state of AI applications in manufacturing not only introduces advanced analytical capabilities that have the potential to transform every aspect of manufacturing operations but also streamlines extensive tasks with unprecedented speed and efficiency, challenging traditional methods and fundamentally reshaping manufacturing practices [18]. The future of manufacturing systems is expected to be more efficient, accurate, and accessible as AI technology continues to develop.

The potential to improve operational efficiency, accuracy, and resilience in manufacturing is underscored by empirical research and theoretical advancements in AI [19]. The introduction of predictive maintenance technologies and machine learning has substantially improved the capabilities of systems that were initially based on rules. In particular, machine learning models have transformed the application of AI in manufacturing by autonomously learning from data, identifying patterns, and making sophisticated predictions without the need for explicit programming for each new task [20]. This advancement enables the automation of routine clerical duties and significantly supports more complex manufacturing reasoning and analysis. In particular, predictive maintenance has revolutionized asset data management by facilitating the processing and comprehension of operational data, which is crucial for the effective management of manufacturing [21]. This capability improves the accuracy of manufacturing outcomes and expedites workflows by enhancing critical operations, such as asset management, risk assessment, and comprehensive manufacturing analysis.

A combination of skepticism and enthusiasm characterizes the manufacturing community's reaction to AI advancements. Advocates emphasize AI's potential to substantially improve manufacturing processes' accuracy, reduce operational costs, and increase efficiency [22]. For instance, AI-driven predictive maintenance tools process immense quantities of information rapidly, enabling managers to supervise more extensive operations precisely. Predictive analytics facilitates more strategic and informed decision-making by offering valuable insights into prospective disruptions [23]. Despite this transformative potential, there are still concerns about the potential for operational integrity and transparency to be compromised, mainly when AI technologies are implemented in sensitive environments. Critics emphasize the necessity of transparency and accountability in integrating AI into critical manufacturing practices [24].

Manufacturing practitioners should integrate AI technology into their asset analysis, decision-making, and manufacturing processes to improve the efficiency and resilience of their operations. AI enhances the efficiency and precision of manufacturing processes, modifies operations, and generates more precise and timely results [25]. Even so, it introduces issues such as traceability and visibility concerns that must be addressed to preserve operational integrity and resilience. In order to guarantee that AI practices do not undermine fairness and resilience, it is imperative to establish a framework that protects against potential abuses and addresses AI efficacy in manufacturing contexts [26]. AI-powered monitoring programs that manage enormous operational data generate security concerns like intrusion, hacking, and exploitation. Privacy concerns regarding unauthorized access to sensitive information, data breaches, and abuse are raised by AI-enhanced surveillance systems, which process and analyze vast quantities of data. To prevent biases that could compromise the integrity of manufacturing systems, AI tools must be developed and tested. Accountability and trust in the system are promoted by guaranteeing the transparency and impartiality of AI processes [27]. Deep learning and other technologies that affect manufacturing integrity and resilience render decision-making processes inscrutable to engineers as they frequently function as "black boxes." Transparency in AI decision-making, diverse datasets, and comprehensive audits are indispensable for minimizing bias in AI systems and ensuring equitable decision-making. Explainable AI (XAI) technology is essential to improve human comprehension of AI operations, promote transparency, and foster trust and accountability in AI-driven decisions [28].

There is a significant gap in the literature regarding the potential benefits of AI deployment in manufacturing with ensuring fairness and visibility across various frameworks and geographical locations [29]. The anticipated widespread adoption of AI underscores the necessity for comprehensive research that addresses these issues and investigates the intricate effects on operational integrity, information security, and bias mitigation. The significance of comprehensive frameworks and regulatory measures is underscored by concerns regarding AI's potentially harmful repercussions [30]. These measures are essential for the ethical development and deployment of AI systems, thereby preventing ethical violations and preserving public trust. In order to foster resilience and transparency, it is necessary to establish rigorous standards for the development and deployment of AI technology, which include technical and functional specifications and guidelines that safeguard fundamental rights and prevent biases. AI has been advocated for by researchers, technologists, and policymakers as a means of enhancing manufacturing operations while preserving the outcome's integrity and maintaining industry standards [31]. A collaborative endeavor is underway to create and enhance regulatory frameworks designed explicitly for AI use in manufacturing. This is intended to address the challenges that these technologies present. As rapid advancements raise critical concerns about bias mitigation, algorithmic transparency, and system robustness, the challenge of integrating AI into manufacturing systems is to balance technological efficiency with resilience [32].

Certain regions quickly adopt these technologies in light of the intricacies associated with integrating AI into manufacturing systems. In contrast, others are hesitant due to cultural sensitivities, operational traditions, or economic constraints [33]. This uneven adoption underscores the global disparities in attitudes toward technology and raises significant concerns about the equitable application of AI in manufacturing. It is a topic of debate among scholars on how to prevent the exacerbation of existing global inequalities by ensuring that AI advancements do not disproportionately benefit well-resourced regions while leaving others behind [34]. A concerted international effort is necessary To address such disparities by establishing standards and procedures that ensure equitable access to AI technology and facilitate its more equitable distribution of benefits. In order to ultimately capitalize on the potential of AI to improve manufacturing worldwide and prevent unequal technological expansion issues, it is imperative to adopt a global perspective. This integrative literature review aims to critically assess the efficacy of AI tools in manufacturing settings, with a particular emphasis on their influence on predictive maintenance, quality control, and supply chain optimization. The review will also address the challenges of bias mitigation, algorithmic transparency, and system resilience to ensure responsible integration into manufacturing systems.

This research is significant for its thorough and impartial evaluation of AI in manufacturing environments. It addresses AI's operational and societal implications to establish frameworks that promote efficiency and resilience while preserving transparency and fairness. The current corpus of literature suggests that AI has the potential to revolutionize manufacturing practices by enhancing efficiency and accuracy [35]. However, it poses significant obstacles in bias mitigation, algorithmic transparency, and system resilience, necessitating immediate and meticulous consideration and robust regulatory frameworks. AI technologies revolutionize manufacturing management by analyzing vast data, identifying patterns, and providing previously unattainable insights, facilitating more informed and objective decision-making processes. AI-enabled solutions enable professionals to concentrate on more intricate tasks by automating routine administrative duties, providing predictive maintenance, and quality control with increased efficiency and precision [36]. Integrating AI-driven technologies into the manufacturing system improves the quality and accessibility of services, optimizes operational processes, and contributes to a more resilient and effective system. AI can resolve resource allocation gaps, ensure the consistent application of operational standards, and provide more personalized and timely assistance to individuals and organizations [37].

AI has a profound and contentious impact on manufacturing environments, substantially altering the management and execution of processes [38]. As AI technologies continue to evolve, they introduce new levels of analytical precision and efficiency and significant concerns regarding transparency, bias, and operational interpretation. In order to effectively utilize AI tools and resolve associated challenges, manufacturing practitioners must consistently update their knowledge and modify their procedures. The maintenance of the standards and resilience fundamental to manufacturing systems will become more reliant on AI capabilities for processes such as predictive maintenance, risk mitigation, and administrative automation [39]. In order to reconcile innovation with established standards and ensure that AI's benefits are realized without compromising the integrity and trust inherent in manufacturing systems, additional research is necessary.

The following central research question is the focus of this integrative literature review, which is designed to address the challenges and uncertainties associated with AI integration in manufacturing systems: In what ways do AI tools, including predictive analytics, machine learning-based quality control, and AI-driven supply chain optimization, influence the efficiency, resilience, and transparency of manufacturing processes and what strategies can be employed to manage the practical obstacles of bias mitigation, algorithmic transparency, and system resilience?

Theoretical/Conceptual Framework

This integrative literature review investigates the implementation of AI technology in manufacturing systems and is structured around three fundamental concepts: predictive analytics, machine learning-based quality control, and AI-driven supply chain optimization. These concepts are indispensable for enhancing manufacturing operations' efficacy, enhancing manufacturing outcomes' precision, and encouraging innovation in manufacturing practices [40]. Predictive analytics has the potential to rapidly and efficiently address intricate manufacturing challenges by utilizing large datasets to predict future results [41]. This capability is essential for predictive maintenance, revolutionizing responsibilities such as asset management, risk mitigation, and manufacturing analysis. The precision and efficacy of manufacturing risk management are substantially enhanced by predictive maintenance, enabling detailed knowledge and analysis of operational data [42]. Manufacturers can enhance operational efficiency and reduce disruption by implementing real-time monitoring and preemptive maintenance scheduling through predictive analytics.

Machine learning-based quality control applications have demonstrated their utility in various manufacturing management domains, such as reducing defects and maintaining product consistency. Machine learning models analyze production data to identify anomalies and anticipate potential issues prior to their occurrence [43]. This proactive approach enhances the quality of manufacturing outputs and provides deeper insights into production patterns and trends, thereby improving the decision-making process. Still, implementing quality control based on machine learning is fraught with obstacles, particularly in data privacy and the transparency of the algorithms employed by these models [44]. Transparency and accountability are essential in AI-driven decision-making processes, particularly when these decisions substantially impact product quality and operational outcomes. The integrity and veracity of manufacturing processes are contingent upon the fairness and impartiality of machine learning models [45].

The application of artificial intelligence in manufacturing contexts is significantly advanced by AI-driven supply chain optimization. AI-driven supply chain optimization enables managers to make more informed decisions using intricate algorithms to produce insights and recommendations [46]. AI has the potential to enhance the efficiency and resilience of operations by optimizing a variety of supply chain components, including inventory management, demand forecasting, and logistics. Manufacturers can more effectively anticipate supply chain disruptions and optimize their responses by incorporating AI into supply chain workflows [47]. This integration improves the quality and efficiency of supply chain decisions by adapting to existing procedures and maintaining or enhancing supply chain standards. The capacity of AI to process immense quantities of data and offer real-time insights enables more responsive and agile supply chain management, resulting in enhanced operational performance and resilience [48].

Manufacturing practitioners and professionals are increasingly concerned about the potential biases of AI in manufacturing settings, particularly in areas like inventory management, demand forecasting, and logistics decisions, where predictive analytics risk replicating previous prejudices [49]. Addressing these challenges is critical for ensuring the integrity and robustness of manufacturing systems and necessitates knowledge of AI's capabilities and limitations. To navigate these complexities, experts are turning to foundational theories such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Diffusion of Innovations Theory (DOI), and Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) Theory, which focus on technology acceptance, the spread of innovations, and user-computer interaction, respectively. These theories provide a comprehensive framework for incorporating AI technologies into manufacturing operations, guaranteeing that their implementation improves the performance of manufacturing while preserving operational integrity.

TAM suggests that the perceived usefulness and ease of use of AI tools are crucial for their acceptance among manufacturing professionals [50]. This theory highlights the importance of designing AI technologies that are not only effective but also user-friendly, ensuring that manufacturing workers find them beneficial and easy to integrate into their daily tasks. DOI encourages a thorough evaluation of the factors that influence the adoption rate of AI technologies, helping to identify strategies to accelerate their diffusion and overcome resistance to change [51]. This theory sheds light on how AI innovations spread within the manufacturing sector, emphasizing the roles of communication channels, time, and the social system. HCI ensures that AI technologies enhance user experience and productivity, rather than becoming obstacles in the manufacturing process by focusing on ergonomic design and user-friendly interfaces [52]. This theory emphasizes the design of user-centric AI systems that facilitate smooth and efficient interactions between manufacturing professionals and AI tools.

The study's conceptual framework is motivated by the aspiration to bridge the divide between robust manufacturing operations and technological innovation. It aims to provide a fair portrayal of the role of AI in manufacturing management, balancing the revolutionary potential of this technology against its implications for efficiency and resilience. The study analyzes the integration of AI tools into manufacturing workflows and their influence on decision-making processes by endeavoring to develop effective strategies to guarantee the realization of AI's advantages and mitigate its risks. That requires a comprehensive assessment of AI applications from all perspectives, including the more significant implications of technology-driven manufacturing processes and operational efficiency [53]. The framework is designed to offer a comprehensive comprehension of the transformative potential of AI technologies in manufacturing, emphasizing both the opportunities and challenges associated with their integration.

The manufacturing sector still needs to be fully integrated with AI, and there is a lack of research that addresses the full spectrum of operational and societal implications [54]. This discrepancy underscores the necessity of ongoing research to explore the intricate ways AI technologies may impact manufacturing practices and how these influences align with the principles of equity and resilience. It is imperative to bridge this divide to establish policies and practices that effectively leverage AI's capabilities, guaranteeing that manufacturing systems remain equitable and resilient in the digital transformation era [55]. The research aims to address this lacuna by offering a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted impact of AI on manufacturing processes, taking into account both the technical and ethical aspects of AI integration.

This paper endeavors to offer valuable insights to academicians studying the challenges and potential of AI integration in the manufacturing sector, with a view to future studies that delve deeper into the circumstances surrounding AI adoption. In addition, it endeavors to provide policymakers with information on effective strategies for fostering economic development and innovation in manufacturing management. In order to guarantee that AI technologies are fully utilized and that the optimal path forward is identified as manufacturing technology evolves, researchers, policymakers, and practitioners must collaborate [56]. Synthesizing interdisciplinary perspectives and addressing diverse challenges necessitates such collaboration. As a result, additional research is required to evaluate the potential of AI-powered manufacturing to improve the efficiency, transparency, and resilience of manufacturing processes. The study seeks to ensure that technological advancements are translated into tangible benefits for the industry and society by promoting a collaborative approach that contributes to developing comprehensive frameworks that support the ethical and effective integration of AI in manufacturing.

Research Method and Design

An integrative literature review (ILR) blends empirical and theoretical literature to thoroughly comprehend a phenomenon or issue [57]. This research strategy critically evaluates, analyzes, and synthesizes the current state of knowledge on a particular study topic, which has been compiled from various academic sources. An ILR generates a cohesive and valuable narrative that presents a clear picture of the research landscape, guiding future studies and informing evidence-based policy and practice decisions [58]. Peer-reviewed articles, books, conference papers, reports, grey literature, and reliable online publications comprise the ILR. By synthesizing prior research and identifying voids that will inform future investigations and strategic implementations, this approach contributes to developing concepts pertinent to the field's policies and practices [59]. The primary objective is comprehending the research issue by contrasting perspectives and identifying patterns and common themes. This methodical evaluation evaluates the quality of the study, the methodologies employed, and the rigor of the research, identifying gaps and areas that necessitate further investigation to offer valuable insights for future research endeavors. Ultimately, an ILR produces a narrative that is both valuable and cogent, providing a coherent representation of the research landscape to inform evidence-based policy and practice decisions and guide future studies [60].

Researchers approach literature review themes by monitoring the development of research interests, identifying ongoing changes resulting from significant field advances, and investigating new research directions [61]. They emphasize the significance of assessing potential future orientations and engaging with imminent developments, acknowledging the increased value of training stakeholders. They underscore the significance of comprehensive integrative literature evaluations considering policy, future practice, development implications, and specific sample requirements for representativeness [62]. They prioritize a well-organized data collection phase that follows the study's objective, utilizing a methodological framework to guarantee objectivity and rigor. An integrative literature review that needs to consider the implications for policy, future practice, and development is ineffective in fostering further discussion [63]. Additionally, research specialists underscore the significance of employing meticulous academic search engines, such as Google Scholar, to identify pertinent papers and consult a variety of sources in order to gain a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter.

The integrative literature review method enables a comprehensive examination of currently available research by integrating various perspectives and data from various sources, including academic journals, reports, case studies, and industry publications [64]. The comprehensive and scientific approach to literature synthesis of this method is advantageous for investigating the adoption of AI in manufacturing contexts. It is an exceptional opportunity to identify the variables that have influenced the development and evolution of AI in the manufacturing sector by conducting a literature review on this particular issue. The ILR technique enables the integration of concepts from various domains, such as technology, law, ethics, and business management, due to the interdisciplinary nature of AI [65]. This study aims to examine the current implementation of AI technologies in manufacturing operations to identify patterns, challenges, and opportunities associated with these technologies. The objective is to comprehensively comprehend how AI is transforming manufacturing procedures and decision-making processes, thereby affecting the future of manufacturing systems.

In particular, the research question emphasizes sector-specific applications, regulatory obstacles, and potential repercussions on manufacturing practices, all of which are critical factors that influence the effective integration of AI into manufacturing settings. The integrative literature review method is employed in this study to methodically analyze and synthesize existing literature in order to identify recurring themes, establish trends, and emphasize knowledge deficits. This thorough investigation is essential for addressing the research question and enhancing comprehension of the application of AI in various manufacturing scenarios. Also, the ILR approach enables the comparison of hypotheses and facts, which leads to a more comprehensive comprehension of the complexities inherent in integrating AI into manufacturing systems [66]. This approach guarantees that the review criteria are precise to the primary research question, considering the specific settings of the technologies, the manufacturing frameworks utilized, and the outcomes being investigated. It is the optimal choice for the current investigation because it enables the establishment of a solid theoretical and conceptual framework. In addition, it facilitates the examination of theoretical models and frameworks from previous studies, thereby establishing a solid foundation for future research and making a substantial contribution to developing a well-defined analytical framework [67].

A systematic and detailed approach to sourcing pertinent materials is employed in this integrative literature review on adopting AI technologies within manufacturing settings. The integrative review methodological framework comprises five critical stages: (1) Formulation of the problem, (2) Data Collection, (3) Data Evaluation, (4) Data Analysis, and (5) Interpretation and Presentation of Results [68]. At the outset of this ILR study, the objectives, scope, and topic were clearly defined. The focus was on integrating AI technologies into manufacturing practices to identify the most significant challenges and opportunities. Keywords and phrases such as "Artificial Intelligence," "Manufacturing Technology," "Operational Systems," and "AI in Manufacturing" were identified to facilitate the data collection process. A targeted literature search was facilitated by a comprehensive search string that combined these terms using logical operators such as AND and OR. Subsequently, I selected pertinent academic databases, journals, and digital libraries to compile data. This meticulous data collection approach guarantees the acquisition of consistent and pertinent information from all consulted sources, specifically designed to align closely with the study's objectives and central research questions.

Subsequently, I conducted a comprehensive examination of various scholarly sources, such as academic publications, conference papers, reports, and articles, utilizing the generated search keywords. In order to ensure that the study's concentration on the application of artificial intelligence in manufacturing settings was relevant, each abstract and title were meticulously reviewed by well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The selected papers were extensively reviewed and synthesized, and I collected critical information about integrating AI technology into manufacturing processes. The data was organized around essential themes, such as methodology, significant insights, problems, and possibilities. This analysis allowed me to identify significant patterns and insights into how AI transforms manufacturing operations, thereby augmenting strategic decision-making and emphasizing opportunities for technological advancement in the field. I meticulously reviewed the acquired data in the final stage of the ILR to guarantee a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. That encompassed a comprehensive examination of the current conditions, issues, and future perspectives, as well as an outlining of AI's current application and impact in manufacturing settings. I also implemented a backward and forward citation search to identify additional pertinent research, ensuring that the literature was thoroughly and extensively examined. Throughout the process, I maintained meticulous records of the search and review procedures to guarantee the integrity and reproducibility of the ILR. That supported the rigor of the study and the dependability of its conclusions.

The potential inconsistencies between the data collected and the real-world circumstances in the manufacturing industry as it integrates AI technologies present a substantial challenge to the credibility of this study. In order to mitigate threats to the validity of research, it is imperative to implement numerous robust strategies. These strategies consist of the following: 1) the implementation of a comprehensive data collection strategy that guarantees the broad and inclusive gathering of information pertinent to the research topic; 2) the provision of detailed documentation of the collected data, including sources, publication years, and specific keywords utilized in the search process; and 3) the rigorous examination of potential selection biases that could affect the representativeness of the findings [69]. In order to guarantee a thorough examination that encompassed a wide variety of sources, this investigation implemented numerous library databases and search engines, including Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. A comprehensive and dependable analysis of the current literature on any subject can be achieved by incorporating Google Scholar and curated databases [70]. This method significantly enhances the likelihood of identifying the most pertinent and frequently cited papers. The search method employed a combination of key terms, including "Artificial Intelligence" OR "AI," "Manufacturing Technology," "Operational Systems," and "Manufacturing Practices," to collect relevant material from various platforms. Following identifying significant publications and developing trends, more specific searches were conducted in specialized databases using precise terms. The goal was to identify scholastic works that explicitly analyze the adoption and repercussions of AI in manufacturing environments. This rigorous methodology guaranteed that the literature analysis accurately reflects the current state of AI integration in the manufacturing industry, establishing a reliable foundation for future research.

At times when there was a scarcity of new research, dissertations, or conference proceedings, I capitalized on the extant body of literature. I conducted an exhaustive review of authoritative books, reputable web resources, and peer-reviewed journal articles to extricate relevant facts, insights, and theoretical viewpoints regarding the application of AI in manufacturing environments. The ILR method was employed in this research on AI-driven manufacturing management due to its ability to integrate a diverse array of literature from various sources. This method allowed for integrating data from various disciplines, including technology, manufacturing management, ethics, and business management, thereby improving the analysis's comprehensiveness and scope. The ILR technique was instrumental in identifying patterns, trends, and areas of research that require further investigation regarding the current implementation and potential future influence of AI technologies in the manufacturing sector. It thoroughly comprehends the subject matter that influences policy decisions, program planning, and practice [71]. A comprehensive perspective is necessary to effectively address the complexities of AI applications in manufacturing processes and create strategies consistent with ethical standards and technological advancements.

The selected papers are categorized and ranked in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 according to their citation count, enabling a structured evaluation of the effect and authority of each source within the broader literature on the integration of AI in manufacturing contexts. This ranking method underscores the relative significance and influence of the scholarly work, thereby assisting readers in evaluating the reliability and significance of the arguments presented in the assessed literature. By organizing the papers by citation frequency, the tables ascertain which have been instrumental in shaping the most comprehensive comprehension of AI's function in manufacturing processes. This method is essential for comprehending the revolutionary impact of AI on manufacturing systems, as it emphasizes the concepts and conclusions that have received the most dependable academic support and directs readers to the most reliable and verified facts.

Table 1: Representative Literature on Influential Studies on AI's Impact in Manufacturing Settings Selected for Review

Rank	Title	Year	Author(s)	Type of Document	Citations
1	Artificial intelligence in supply chain management: a systematic literature review	2021	Toorajipour, Sohrabpour, Nazarpour, Oghazi, & Fischl	Article	592
2	Artificial intelligence in advanced manufacturing: Current status and future outlook	2020	Arinez, Chang, Gao, Xu, & Zhang	Article	347
3	Artificial intelligence for supply chain resilience: learning from Covid-19	2022	Modgil, Singh, & Hannibal	Article	310
4	Artificial intelligence-driven innovation for enhancing supply chain resilience and performance under the effect of supply chain dynamism: an empirical investigation	2024	Belhadi, Mani, Kamble, Khan, & Verma	Article	306
5	Challenges, opportunities and future directions of smart manufacturing: a state of art review	2020	Phuyal, Bista, & Bista	Article	297
6	Systematic review on machine learning (ML) methods for manufacturing processes– Identifying artificial intelligence	2020	Fahle, Prinz, & Kuhlenkötter	Article	197

	(AI) methods for field application				
7	Mechanistic artificial intelligence (mechanistic-AI) for modeling, design, and control of advanced manufacturing processes: Current state and perspectives	2022	Mozaffar, Liao, Xie, Saha, Park, Cao, Liu, & Gan	Article	64
8	Explainable AI in manufacturing: a predictive maintenance case study	2020	Hrnjica & Softic	Conference paper	55
9	A review of artificial intelligence applications in manufacturing operations	2023	Plathottam, Rzonca, Lakhnori, & Iloeje	Article	33
10	Artificial intelligence for smart manufacturing: methods and applications	2021	Tran	Article	29
11	AI for improving the overall equipment efficiency in manufacturing industry	2020	Bonada, Echeverria, Domingo, & Anzaldi	Chapter	20
12	Integrating AI in sustainable supply chain management: A new paradigm for enhanced transparency and sustainability	2023	Pal	Article	15
13	A predictive maintenance approach in manufacturing systems via AI-based early failure detection	2023	Hosseinzadeh, Chen, Shahin, & Bouzary	Article	4
14	Artificial intelligence and machine learning for supply chain resilience	2023	Elkady & Sedky	Article	3
15	Resilient supply chains in industry 5.0: leveraging AI for predictive maintenance and risk mitigation	2024	Ejjami & Boussalham	Article	0

Table 2: Representative Literature on Key Articles on Predictive Analytics Using AI in Manufacturing Selected for Review

Rank	Title	Year	Author(s)	Type of Document	Citations
1	Artificial intelligence-driven innovation for enhancing supply chain resilience and performance under the effect of supply chain dynamism: an	2024	Belhadi, Mani, Kamble, Khan, & Verma	Article	306

	empirical investigation				
2	Intelligent maintenance systems and predictive manufacturing	2020	Lee, Ni, Singh, Jiang, Azamfar, & Feng	Article	115
3	Application of artificial intelligence technology in the manufacturing process and purchasing and supply management	2022	Kehayov, Holder, & Koch	Article	36
4	A review on AI for smart manufacturing: deep learning challenges and solutions	2022	Xu, Kovatsch, Mattern, Mazza, Harasic, Paschke, & Lucia	Article	30
5	Artificial intelligence for smart manufacturing: Methods and applications	2021	Tran	Article	29
6	Evaluation of corporate requirements for smart manufacturing systems using predictive analytics	2022	Sharma & Villányi	Article	26
7	The role of predictive analytics in optimizing supply chain resilience: a review of techniques and case studies	2024	Adewusi, Komolafe, Ejairu, Aderotoye, Abiona, & Oyeniran	Article	23
8	Implementation of AI Technologies in manufacturing-success factors and challenges	2022	Kutz, Neuhüttler, Spilski, & Lachmann	Conference paper	10
9	An overview of the application of machine learning in predictive maintenance	2021	Tran, Truong, Tran, & Hải	Article	5
10	A predictive maintenance approach in manufacturing systems via AI-based early failure detection	2023	Hosseinzadeh, Chen, Shahin, & Bouzary	Article	4
11	Integration of artificial intelligence in the manufacturing sector: a systematic review of applications and implications	2023	Balasubramanian & Scholar II	Article	1

Table 3: Representative Literature on Seminal Works on Machine Learning-Based Quality Control in Manufacturing Selected for Review

Rank	Title	Year	Author(s)	Type of Document	Citations
1	Predictive maintenance enabled by machine learning: Use cases and challenges in the automotive industry	2021	Theissler, Pérez-Velázquez, Kettelgerdes, & Elger	Article	306
2	Predictive model-based quality	2020	Schmitt, Bönig,	Article	156

	inspection using machine learning and edge cloud computing		Borggräfe, Beitinger, & Deuse		
3	Artificial intelligence for smart manufacturing: Methods and applications	2021	Tran	Article	29
4	Machine learning applications in advanced manufacturing processes	2020	Guillen	Article	5
5	A predictive maintenance approach in manufacturing systems via AI-based early failure detection	2023	Hosseinzadeh, Chen, Shahin, & Bouzary	Article	4

Table 4: Representative Literature on AI-Driven Supply Chain Optimization in Manufacturing Selected for Review

Rank	Title	Year	Author(s)	Type of Document	Citations
1	Artificial intelligence-driven innovation for enhancing supply chain resilience and performance under the effect of supply chain dynamism: an empirical investigation	2024	Belhadi, Mani, Kamble, Khan, & Verma	Article	306
2	Artificial intelligence for smart manufacturing: methods and applications	2021	Tran	Article	29
3	Integrating AI in sustainable supply chain management: a new paradigm for enhanced transparency and sustainability	2023	Pal	Article	15
4	A predictive maintenance approach in manufacturing systems via AI-based early failure detection	2023	Hosseinzadeh, Chen, Shahin, & Bouzary	Article	4
5	Resilient supply chains in industry 5.0: leveraging AI for predictive maintenance and risk mitigation	2024	Ejjami & Boussalham	Article	0

Findings of the Study

Technological Advancement and Operational Efficiency

Incorporating AI technology in the industrial sector has drastically improved operational efficiency by completely changing conventional methods. Predictive maintenance has transformed maintenance techniques from reactive to proactive, resulting in a substantial decrease in downtime and an enhancement in the dependability of production systems [43]. Nevertheless, the implementation of AI technologies, although offering improved precision and efficiency, also presents various obstacles that require scrutiny. An important issue is the excessive dependence on automated technologies, which might result in a decline in the skills of human practitioners. As artificial intelligence assumes greater responsibility for intricate tasks, there is a concern that essential human expertise may decline, leading to vulnerabilities in the event of AI system failures or unforeseen problems that necessitate human intervention [14]. Furthermore, incorporating AI into production processes prompts inquiries regarding the equilibrium between efficiency and thorough supervision. The pursuit of increased speed and efficiency in operations may decrease meticulousness, jeopardizing the caliber and trustworthiness of production judgments [13]. Overcoming these hurdles is imperative to fully harness the promise of AI-driven predictive maintenance in improving industrial efficiency and dependability. To address this trade-off, it is crucial to adopt a comprehensive strategy that maximizes the advantages of AI while upholding the need for human supervision.

The literature on the impact of AI on technological innovation and operational efficiency in manufacturing emphasizes notable advantages and difficulties. There is a significant impact that AI technologies, such as machine learning and predictive analytics, can have on improving the speed and accuracy of manufacturing operations [1]. Using AI for predictive maintenance can enhance asset management by allowing continuous monitoring and proactive scheduling, significantly minimizing operational interruptions and improves efficiency. Historical examination of maintenance procedures reveals that implementing AI technologies has reduced prevalent problems linked to manual monitoring, such as human mistakes and the demanding nature of the work [2]. That has led to more dependable and precise maintenance results, significantly reducing downtime and enhancing overall system reliability. Nevertheless, the research emphasizes the need for a well-rounded strategy when incorporating these technologies. Although AI's advantages in improving operational efficiency are widely acknowledged, there is a growing demand for ongoing monitoring and adjustment to ensure these improvements maintain the crucial human skills and oversight necessary in manufacturing [55]. The simultaneous emphasis on harnessing the advantages of AI and preserving human expertise is essential for the long-term incorporation of AI technology in the industrial sector. That is why it is crucial to overcome the obstacles related to the adoption of AI-driven predictive maintenance in order to exploit its potential to improve manufacturing efficiency and dependability.

The swift incorporation of AI technology in manufacturing has greatly enhanced operational efficiency, although it also presents many concerns that require attention [49]. A critical issue to consider is the over-dependence on automated systems, which might gradually decline crucial human skills and expertise. Furthermore, there exists a precarious equilibrium between efficiency and meticulousness, as the pursuit of expedited operations may jeopardize the caliber of manufacturing procedures [39]. In order to tackle these difficulties, it is crucial to establish new roles, such as an AI Manufacturing Oversight Officer (AIMOO) and an AI Efficiency Coordinator (AIEC). The AIMOO's primary objective is to guarantee that AI systems enhance human knowledge rather than supplant it. To achieve this, the AIMOO offers mentorship and training programs to sharpen human abilities continuously. Meanwhile, the AIEC would oversee the effectiveness of AI systems, ensuring that they improve operations without sacrificing thoroughness and quality. These jobs would cultivate a cooperative atmosphere where AI and human expertise harmoniously collaborate to uphold elevated manufacturing standards. By incorporating these responsibilities, manufacturers can use AI's advantages while reducing the dangers linked to excessive dependence on automated systems, thus guaranteeing that AI integration results in lasting enhancements in operational efficiency and quality.

Quality Control and Machine Learning

Applying machine learning models to quality control in manufacturing can transform product uniformity and decrease defects. These algorithms can evaluate extensive quantities of production data, detect trends, and forecast future problems in advance, significantly improving the decision-making process [7]. Still, this power to bring about significant changes poses significant difficulties, especially regarding the clarity and impartiality of the algorithms employed. The opaque nature of numerous machine learning models engenders a significant concern as they are likely to hinder practitioners from comprehending and having confidence in decision-making [38]. The absence of openness might result in doubt and opposition from individuals who are expected to depend on these technologies. Also, the issue of data privacy is of great importance, as the vast amount of data needed for machine learning may contain sensitive information that necessitates proper protection [15]. Another critical problem is guaranteeing machine learning algorithms' fairness and impartiality to ensure equitable and unbiased decision-making in various applications. These models need to be meticulously constructed and managed to ensure they operate effectively and maintain fairness and impartiality [35]. In that case, they may reinforce current inefficiencies or biases, which could compromise the reliability and credibility of industrial processes. Hence, meticulous planning, ongoing surveillance, and the advancement of explainable AI (XAI) technologies are crucial in tackling these concerns and effectively capitalizing on the advantages of machine learning in quality control [17].

Utilizing machine learning models for quality control in manufacturing has the potential to revolutionize product consistency and reduce the occurrence of defects. These algorithms can analyze large amounts of production data, identify patterns, and predict future issues in advance, greatly enhancing the decision-making process [16]. However, the ability to cause substantial changes presents notable challenges, particularly regarding the transparency and fairness of the algorithms used. An important issue is the lack of transparency in many machine learning models, which prevents practitioners from fully understanding and trusting the decision-making process [24]. Lack of transparency can lead to skepticism and resistance from persons who are supposed to rely on this technology. Moreover, data privacy holds significant importance, given that the extensive data required for machine learning may encompass sensitive information that requires adequate safeguarding [5]. Ensuring the fairness and honesty of machine learning algorithms is of utmost importance, as it remains a significant concern. Addressing these challenges and fully harnessing machine learning for quality control requires thorough and meticulous planning, continuous monitoring, and advanced explainable AI (XAI) technologies [11]. These models must be meticulously constructed and managed to prevent biases and guarantee transparent decision-making processes. Organizations can reduce the risks of algorithmic biases and improve trust in AI systems by implementing rigorous testing and validation protocols and ongoing assessment and adjustment [6]. In addition to enhancing efficiency and accuracy, this comprehensive approach guarantees that machine learning applications in manufacturing adhere to ethical standards and principles of fairness.

Machine learning models are revolutionizing quality control in manufacturing by offering valuable insights that enable better-informed decision-making. Nevertheless, the lack of openness in these algorithms and the concerns over data privacy are substantial matters that require attention. The opaque character of numerous machine learning models poses challenges for consumers in comprehending and having confidence in their decision-making procedures [3]. At the same time, the substantial data prerequisites give rise to apprehensions regarding data privacy. In order to address these problems, it is recommended that new positions be created, such as an AI Manufacturing Transparency Officer (AIMTO) and an AI Manufacturing Data Security Officer (AIMDSO). The primary objective of the AIMTO is to prioritize the implementation of explainable AI (XAI) technologies, with a specific emphasis on ensuring that machine learning models are transparent and that their decision-making processes are comprehensible to users. Whereas the AIMDSO would create and implement robust data security protocols, safeguard sensitive information, and adhere to data privacy rules. These jobs would contribute to the establishment of trust in AI-driven quality control systems, guaranteeing their transparency and security. Manufacturers should confront these problems while upholding stringent principles of transparency, accountability, and data protection to exploit machine learning's capabilities for quality control fully [4].

Supply Chain Optimization and AI

AI-powered supply chain optimization is a significant advancement in manufacturing, with the ability to expedite operations and enhance decision-making. AI technologies employ sophisticated algorithms to evaluate extensive volumes of data, yielding valuable insights that can improve inventory management, demand forecasting, and logistics [10]. Nevertheless, the integration of AI in supply chain management is full of obstacles that require careful navigation to ensure successful implementation and operation. An essential concern is the dependence on precise and superior data. Erroneous or insufficient data might result in accurate interpretations and better choices, potentially causing disruptions in supply chain operations [31]. Moreover, incorporating AI into current supply chain workflows necessitates substantially modifying organizational processes and culture. The effective deployment of AI technology in supply chain management can be hindered by resistance to change and a lack of knowledge among professionals in the field [18]. Furthermore, there are apprehensions regarding the clarity and responsibility of AI-powered judgments in supply chain management. It is essential to make sure that these judgments are straightforward and can be justified to all parties involved to establish trust and guarantee that AI technologies improve rather than weaken supply chain operations [12].

The scholarly research on AI-driven supply chain optimization emphasizes its capacity to revolutionize manufacturing operations by improving efficiency, precision, and resilience [53]. It has demonstrated that AI technologies have the potential to significantly enhance supply chain decision-making by offering up-to-the-minute insights and recommendations derived from intricate data analysis. AI can enhance many aspects of the supply chain, such as inventory management and demand forecasting, in various ways, resulting in improved efficiency and responsiveness in supply chain operations [22]. Nevertheless, the research underscores the significance of data quality and the necessity for solid data management methods to guarantee the dependability of AI-generated insights. It highlights the importance of high-quality data and the need for solid data management practices to ensure the accuracy and dependability of insights produced by AI [33]. It also emphasizes the importance of incorporating AI technology into current organizational procedures and cultivating a culture that promotes innovation and ongoing enhancement while maintaining transparency and accountability in AI-powered supply chain choices. Implementing explainable AI (XAI) can ensure that these decisions are comprehensible to all parties involved in the manufacturing process, fostering greater trust and transparency [28]. The literature generally indicates that AI-driven supply chain optimization can provide substantial advantages, but its practical application necessitates meticulous consideration of data quality, corporate culture, and transparency.

AI-powered supply chain optimization is a significant manufacturing breakthrough despite difficulties regarding data quality, integration challenges, and organizational resistance [47]. The efficacy of these AI systems is highly contingent upon the precision and caliber of the data employed, and companies may need more support to adopt new methods. Moreover, it is imperative to guarantee transparency and accountability in judgments made by artificial intelligence to build trust and ensure ethical decision-making [26]. In order to address these problems, job positions such as an AI Supply Chain Data Manager (AISCDM) and an AI Change Management Officer (AICMO) are recommended to be established. The AISCDM aims to enhance data management methods, specifically emphasizing utilizing high-quality and precise data for optimizing supply chain operations through AI technology. The AICMO's role would involve creating and executing change management strategies to cultivate a culture of innovation and promote the adoption of AI technologies among supply chain professionals. These jobs would guarantee that the integration of AI improves the efficiency and resilience of the supply chain while upholding strict norms of transparency and responsibility. The AISCDM would have a crucial function in overseeing and validating the data inputted into AI systems, reducing the possibility of inaccurate conclusions and subpar choices that could disrupt supply chain operations. Simultaneously, the AICMO would address organizational opposition by fostering a culture that welcomes AI-driven innovation while ensuring all stakeholders have a comprehensive understanding of and confidence in the adopted AI technology. By tackling these obstacles, these positions would fully harness the potential advantages of AI-powered supply chain optimization, resulting in enhanced efficiency, transparency, and resilience in manufacturing operations.

Addressing Bias and Ensuring Fairness in AI Applications

Using AI technologies in the manufacturing industry gives rise to significant problems around bias and equity, especially in domains such as inventory management, demand prediction, and logistical choices. If not properly built and managed, predictive analytics and machine learning models have the potential to replicate and perpetuate existing biases, which can undermine the integrity and fairness of manufacturing operations [54]. It is essential to tackle these difficulties to ensure that AI technologies improve, rather than diminish, the fairness and strength of production systems. A significant concern is the possibility of skewed data impacting decisions made by AI systems. When AI models are trained with biased data, they tend to replicate these biases in their predictions and recommendations [9]. Another noteworthy issue is the absence of transparency in AI systems, commonly known as the "black box" problem. The absence of transparency hampers comprehension of decision-making processes, undermining faith in AI systems and impeding their adoption among manufacturing professionals [32]. To establish confidence and ensure fair and effective utilization of AI in manufacturing, it is crucial to tackle transparency concerns and establish robust monitoring systems To guarantee transparency, justice, and accountability in AI applications, it is necessary to conduct thorough testing, maintain ongoing monitoring, and provide tools to explain AI decisions [8].

The current body of research on mitigating prejudice and guaranteeing equity in AI implementations in the manufacturing sector underscores the utmost significance of these concerns. Extensive research has thoroughly documented the dangers linked to biased data and opaque algorithms, highlighting the

importance of rigorous testing and ongoing monitoring of AI systems to identify and address biases [56]. Research has demonstrated that biases included in the data used to train artificial intelligence systems can substantially impact the fairness of the decisions made by these systems. That can result in unequal outcomes and the continuation of existing inefficiencies within the manufacturing process [44]. Tackling these difficulties necessitates a comprehensive approach that involves experts from several fields, such as ethics, technology, and manufacturing. This collaboration is crucial to guarantee that AI systems are developed and deployed in a manner that adheres to ethical principles and fosters fairness. The literature emphasizes the significance of transparency in AI applications, with scholars calling for creating and utilizing XAI to enhance the comprehensibility and responsibility of AI algorithms' decision-making processes (46). It emphasizes the importance of creating thorough frameworks and regulatory measures to guarantee the responsible development and implementation of AI technologies in manufacturing. Cooperation among a wide range of specialists guarantees that AI-powered procedures are productive, successful, impartial, and open, promoting increased confidence and acceptance in manufacturing settings [45].
The inherent prejudices in AI applications present substantial obstacles in the manufacturing sector, namely in domains such as inventory control and predicting market demand. Artificial intelligence models trained on biased data have the potential to sustain and propagate these biases, resulting in outputs that are unjust and lacking in fairness [19]. Also, numerous AI algorithms' lack of openness and trustworthiness is a cause of concern for manufacturers and regulatory bodies. Such opacity can lead to mistrust, hinder adoption, and potentially result in biased or flawed decision-making processes [48]. In order to tackle these difficulties, it is necessary to create new positions, such as an AI Manufacturing Integrity Officer (AIMIO) and an AI Manufacturing Ethics Officer (AIMEO). The AIMIO would do thorough testing and ongoing monitoring of AI systems to identify and address biases, guaranteeing that AI outputs are equitable and impartial within the manufacturing setting. The primary objective of AIMEO is to ensure that the development and implementation of AI systems adhere to ethical norms and facilitate openness and justice. These posts would guarantee the integration of AI technology into production in a way that improves operational integrity and fairness, promoting confidence and responsibility in AI-driven decisions. By establishing specialized jobs to supervise the ethical and impartial implementation of AI, the industrial sector may tackle the issues related to bias and transparency more effectively. This strategy fosters equity and confidence in AI systems and improves the overall efficiency and dependability of AI-driven manufacturing processes, guaranteeing that technical progress leads to fair and sustainable results [40].

Critique of the Extant Literature to Identify the Future of Practice and Policy

By incorporating AI tools like predictive analytics, machine learning-based quality control, and AI-driven supply chain optimization, manufacturing processes can be greatly improved in efficiency, robustness, and transparency [42]. These AI technologies increase operational effectiveness and decision-making precision by allowing real-time data analysis, proactive maintenance, and exact demand forecasting. Nevertheless, executing these systems poses significant difficulties, such as addressing bias, ensuring algorithmic openness, and upholding system resilience [36]. This study aims to offer a comprehensive evaluation of AI in manufacturing environments that will include both the practical and societal consequences of AI implementation. Additionally, the study will propose frameworks that enhance the ability of manufacturing systems to withstand challenges and operate efficiently while ensuring fairness and transparency.

The results demonstrate that AI dramatically improves forecast accuracy and operational efficiency, although it also encounters obstacles such as inadequate data quality, infrastructure inadequacies, and cybersecurity risks. The study is limited by its reliance on pre-existing data and the ever-changing nature of AI technology, which may impact the generalizability and long-term applicability of its findings. To overcome these constraints, continuous study and adaptation to breakthroughs in AI are necessary as they ensure the successful and sustainable integration of AI into manufacturing systems. This strategy will allow manufacturers to remain up-to-date with technological advancements, resolve emerging challenges, and fully capitalize on AI's potential to improve operational efficiency and resilience [29]. Manufacturers can sustain a competitive advantage in their industry by regularly updating AI processes, improving decision-making accuracy, and mitigating risks connected with old technology.

AI technologies have significantly improved operational efficiency in manufacturing by completely changing established procedures through automation, predictive maintenance, and enhanced data analysis [39]. Predictive maintenance has transitioned from reactive to proactive approaches, resulting in less downtime and enhanced system reliability. Nevertheless, there is a significant issue with excessive dependence on automated technologies, which might deteriorate crucial human skills and knowledge [35]. Moreover, maintaining a delicate equilibrium between efficiency and thoroughness is of utmost importance, as the pursuit of expedited operations may undermine the excellence of manufacturing processes [41]. In order to tackle these problems, it is crucial to establish new positions, such as the AI Manufacturing Oversight Officer (AIMOO) and the AI Efficiency Coordinator (AIEC). These responsibilities guarantee that AI systems enhance human expertise and uphold high standards and operational integrity by consistently monitoring and adapting.

The literature on the impact of AI on technical innovation and operational efficiency emphasizes substantial advantages, such as enhanced velocity, accuracy, and decision-making process [13]. Predictive maintenance has proven to be highly efficient in optimizing asset management and minimizing operational disturbances. Nevertheless, the literature also highlights the necessity of adopting a well-rounded strategy when incorporating these technologies. Although AI dramatically improves operational efficiency, it is essential to maintain human oversight and knowledge for the sustainable integration of AI [30]. Having a dual focus is crucial to ensure that the benefits of AI are fully achieved without compromising human skills and meticulousness in industrial operations.

Utilizing machine learning models for quality control in manufacturing has the potential to transform product consistency and minimize defects significantly [7]. Nevertheless, the opaque nature of numerous machine learning models presents substantial obstacles regarding transparency and trust. Data privacy is a significant concern since the enormous amount of data needed for these models may contain sensitive information [47]. In order to address these problems, it is necessary to establish positions such as AI Manufacturing Transparency Officer (AIMTO) and AI Manufacturing Data Security Officer (AIMDSO). These positions primarily include the implementation of explainable AI technology and robust data security measures to ensure transparency and adherence to data protection rules.

The existing body of literature on machine learning-based quality control highlights its capacity to improve decision-making by offering more profound insights into production patterns [8]. Research has demonstrated that anomaly detection and defect prediction have achieved a high level of accuracy, resulting in enhanced product consistency and decreased waste. Nevertheless, the common thread throughout these systems is the necessity for transparency and accountability [22]. Researchers argue for the implementation of explainable AI in order to enhance the comprehensibility and reliability of decision-making processes. Guaranteeing data privacy and reducing biases is crucial for the equitable and efficient implementation of AI-powered quality control systems [18].

Utilizing artificial intelligence to optimize supply chains can greatly enhance efficiency and decision-making by evaluating large volumes of data [16]. Nevertheless, the dependence on precise and superior data is essential, as erroneous data can result in less-than-ideal conclusions. Effective adoption can be hindered by supply chain experts' resistance to change and their limited understanding of AI technologies [20]. These difficulties can be addressed by introducing new positions such as AI Supply Chain Data Manager (AISCDM) and AI Change Management Officer (AICMO). The AISCDM guarantees the use of excellent data management methods, while the AICMO promotes a culture that encourages the use of AI technologies and embraces innovation.

The literature emphasizes the capacity of AI to significantly enhance supply chain choices by providing real-time insights and recommendations [48]. AI can improve operations, such as inventory management and demand forecasting, increasing efficiency and resilience. Nevertheless, the quality of data and the culture inside a company play a crucial role in achieving successful integration of AI. Researchers stress the importance of implementing strong data management practices and promoting innovation in order to ensure the effective utilization of AI technologies [55]. Ensuring transparency and accountability in AI-driven choices is crucial for establishing trust and maintaining ethical and efficient supply chain management.

AI in the industrial sector gives rise to substantial apprehensions regarding bias and equity, specifically in inventory management and demand forecasting [2]. The presence of bias in training data can sustain current inefficiencies and result in unjust consequences. The absence of transparency in AI algorithms presents difficulties in fostering confidence and gaining adoption [53]. The roles of AI Bias Mitigation Officer (AIBMO) and AI Manufacturing Ethics Officer (AIMEO) are paramount. The AIBMO would do thorough examinations and surveillance to identify and alleviate biases, while the AIMEO would offer ethical direction to guarantee that AI systems maintain fairness and integrity.

The literature extensively records the hazards linked to partial data and obscure techniques in AI applications [12]. Researchers emphasize the importance of ongoing surveillance and advancement of explainable AI to guarantee transparency and equity. To tackle these difficulties, a multidisciplinary approach is necessary, integrating ethicists, technologists, and manufacturing specialists to guarantee AI's ethical and equitable integration. Robust frameworks and stringent regulatory requirements are crucial to sustaining confidence and integrity in AI-driven manufacturing processes [1].

Through a thorough examination and combination of current literature, the study offers various suggestions for future actions in incorporating AI technologies into manufacturing to improve practice and policy. It is crucial to establish new positions such as AI Manufacturing Oversight Officer, AI Efficiency Coordinator, AI Manufacturing Transparency Officer, AI Manufacturing Data Security Officer, AI Supply Chain Data Manager, AI Change Management Officer, AI Bias Mitigation Officer, and AI Manufacturing Ethics Officer. These positions will guarantee ongoing surveillance, adherence to ethical standards, protection of data, and efficient incorporation of AI. Ongoing training and development programs are essential for manufacturing personnel to maintain their expertise and effectively collaborate with AI technologies [3]. Promoting a culture of innovation and continuous improvement in manufacturing enterprises is recommended to support the acceptance and successful application of AI technology. Adopting stringent data management methods is crucial to guarantee the dependability of AI-generated insights and judgments by ensuring the data's high quality and accuracy [28]. It is imperative to create thorough frameworks and regulatory procedures to tackle ethical issues, reduce biases, and guarantee justice in the implementation of AI. Developing and using explainable AI technologies are likely to provide transparency in AI decision-making processes and foster stakeholder confidence [46].

Incorporating AI technology in manufacturing not only offers substantial prospects but it presents significant difficulties as well. AI has the potential to significantly improve operational efficiency, quality control, and supply chain optimization [6]. However, it is essential to prioritize addressing concerns related to bias, transparency, and data protection to ensure AI's sustainable and ethical deployment [15]. Introducing new positions that prioritize supervision, openness, and ethical deliberation can assist in managing these difficulties and guaranteeing responsible and efficient integration of AI technologies into manufacturing operations. In order to create a manufacturing environment that is both resilient and fair, future practices and policies need to prioritize the harmonious integration of AI with human expertise [38]. A commitment to ongoing innovation and establishing solid regulatory frameworks should accompany this endeavor.

Discussion and Implications of the Integrative Literature Review

The results of this comprehensive analysis of existing literature are consistent with earlier studies, highlighting the significant impact of AI in improving the effectiveness, adaptability, and openness of manufacturing operations. Research constantly shows that AI technologies, specifically predictive analytics, machine learning-based quality control, and AI-driven supply chain optimization, hold immense potential to greatly enhance operational efficiencies, decrease downtime, and improve decision-making accuracy [19]. This promising outlook, however, is tempered by ongoing obstacles such as problems with the quality of data, inadequacies in infrastructure, and worries about the transparency and bias of algorithms, which align with concerns expressed in previous research. To tackle these difficulties, it is necessary to adopt a holistic strategy that integrates the strengths of AI with conventional approaches so as to overcome constraints and improve the overall resilience of industrial systems [32].

Various factors may impact the understanding of the findings, such as discrepancies in data accuracy, the dynamic development of AI technology, and the distinct circumstances of diverse production settings. The dependence on historical data and pre-existing datasets may introduce biases that could impact the capacity to apply the findings to a broader context [39]. The financial and legislative limitations experienced by manufacturing organizations can affect the ability to expand and sustain AI applications, making the deployment process more complex. Continuous monitoring, adaption, and investment in data quality and infrastructure are crucial for ensuring AI's successful and long-lasting integration in manufacturing [27].

The study's findings offer a comprehensive framework for incorporating AI into manufacturing, thereby improving the precision and dependability of processes. This paper provides practical assistance for policymakers and industry stakeholders by addressing data quality, infrastructural limits, and algorithmic openness concerns. This framework improves existing manufacturing practices and facilitates future progress, promoting a more robust and environmentally friendly industrial infrastructure. The suggested measures, such as establishing specialized positions dedicated to AI supervision and ethical deliberations, aid in the formulation of strong approaches for the ethical and efficient integration of AI. These measures ensure that AI technologies enhance human knowledge and adhere to stringent operational standards.

The incorporation of AI into the industrial sector carries substantial consequences for business and management. AI technologies have the potential to enhance operational efficiencies, reduce costs, and enhance the management of supply chain logistics and quality control operations [24]. To effectively exploit these advantages, managers must allocate resources to upgrade infrastructure and guarantee the accuracy and reliability of data. However, the key to fully optimizing these advantages lies in the establishment of a culture that prioritizes ongoing learning and innovation [56]. This is essential for ensuring that the workforce possesses the requisite skills to effectively harness AI technologies. The reassurance of this adaptability can be felt through the implementation of ongoing training programs and strategic investments in modern data analytics platforms and real-time monitoring systems. Engaging with policymakers and industry experts can facilitate the development of a favorable environment for the implementation of AI, guaranteeing adherence to ethical guidelines and optimizing the advantages of technology [5]. These collective endeavors stimulate ingenuity, bolster sustainability, and raise the overall adaptability of the industrial industry.

The study's findings enhance practical applications by offering a comprehensive foundation for incorporating AI into manufacturing operations. This framework improves the accuracy of operational forecasts and the dependability of quality control systems, resulting in more efficient and sustainable manufacturing operations. It promotes the use of AI-driven technologies, backing worldwide sustainability efforts and cultivating a stronger manufacturing infrastructure. The study is in accordance with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), specifically Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) and Goal 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production). AI's potential to enhance sustainable industrialization and responsible production practices by optimizing manufacturing processes and minimizing operational inefficiencies is truly inspiring [49]. Enhancing precision in manufacturing procedures aids in optimizing resource distribution, minimizing inefficiencies, and guaranteeing a consistent flow of goods, ultimately leading to decreased environmental harm and operating expenses [13].

This study has greatly improved the dependability and effectiveness of production processes. Artificial intelligence's predictive powers enhance the management of supply chain logistics and quality control, significantly mitigating the risk of disruptions and assuring consistent operations [36]. These enhancements optimize consumer contentment and minimize manufacturers' operating expenses. Incorporating technologies such as edge computing and blockchain further strengthens data security and transparency, guaranteeing the safety and reliability of industrial procedures [15]. The manufacturing sector's emphasis on both efficiency and trustworthiness positions it for long-term success and innovation, leading to a more resilient and reliable manufacturing infrastructure.

The study emphasizes the significant capacity of AI to propel additional progress in manufacturing management. By incorporating cutting-edge technologies, the processing capabilities for intricate production calculations can be significantly improved, leading to the exploration of novel opportunities for optimizing manufacturing systems [16]. The manufacturing industry can attain unparalleled efficiency and dependability by embracing these technological breakthroughs, setting a new bar for worldwide manufacturing norms. Managers must remain knowledgeable about developing technology and be ready to incorporate it into their strategic planning. Consistent investment in AI technology and establishing appropriate legal frameworks are essential for fully exploiting its promise [25]. By adopting this proactive strategy, the manufacturing sector will establish itself as a frontrunner in developing a dependable, robust, and environmentally friendly industrial system.

Future Recommendations for Practice and Policy

By incorporating AI tools like predictive analytics, machine learning-based quality control, and AI-driven supply chain optimization, manufacturing processes can be greatly improved in efficiency, robustness, and transparency [42]. Nevertheless, significant obstacles need to be overcome to successfully integrate AI, such as addressing bias, ensuring openness in algorithms, and preserving the system's robustness. This study aims to offer a comprehensive evaluation of AI in manufacturing environments, considering both practical and societal consequences. The study attempts to develop frameworks that enhance resilience and efficiency while ensuring fairness and transparency.

Future research should prioritize the development of sophisticated AI bias mitigation techniques that are specifically designed for manufacturing contexts. These techniques should be aimed at addressing biases in AI systems and should be implemented by the AI Manufacturing Integrity Officer (AIMIO), who is responsible for conducting thorough testing and ongoing monitoring. That involves the creation and testing of various datasets, the development of algorithms to detect and correct biases, and the implementation of ongoing monitoring frameworks [17]. Establishing comprehensive frameworks to tackle biases in AI applications is essential to ensure fairness and integrity in decision-making processes.

The creation of the AI Transparency Officer (AITO) job position underscores the importance of incorporating explainable AI technology to enhance the comprehensibility of AI decision-making processes from a transparency standpoint. The objective of future research should be to enhance and perfect XAI techniques in the field of manufacturing, with a focus on ensuring that AI models are transparent and that practitioners can easily understand their decision-making processes. Furthermore, it would be advantageous to investigate the influence of transparency on the confidence and adoption of AI technology in manufacturing environments. Transparent AI solutions promote confidence and enable stakeholders to audit and comprehend the decisions made by AI easily, hence boosting the overall integrity of manufacturing processes [55].

The AI Supply Chain Data Manager (AISCDM) is meant to play a crucial role in data management within the framework of AI supply chains, emphasizing the significance of solid data management methods. Subsequent studies should explore optimal strategies for data management in AI applications, encompassing the establishment of standardized procedures for data gathering, purification, and verification. An analysis of the influence of data quality on the performance of artificial intelligence in different manufacturing scenarios might yield significant observations [45]. The importance of data quality in AI applications cannot be overstated, as it directly impacts the accuracy, reliability, and effectiveness of the AI models and their outcomes. Effective data management is essential for providing dependable inputs to AI systems, which is crucial for producing precise and practical insights, ultimately resulting in enhanced efficiency and resilience in supply chain operations [33].

The AICMO plays a crucial role in promoting a culture of innovation and acceptance of AI technology among industrial professionals, focusing on change management. The research should prioritize the development of efficient change management strategies to facilitate the integration of AI technology in the manufacturing industry. That includes the identification of obstacles to adoption, the development of training programs, and the establishment of incentives to promote ongoing learning and innovation. The need to establish a culture that promotes and facilitates the incorporation of AI is crucial for ensuring that organizations are prepared to adapt to and fully leverage the benefits of AI technologies [14]. Promoting a mentality that welcomes and embraces change and innovation is crucial for successfully incorporating AI technologies, guaranteeing that both the workforce and the systems can adjust to and take advantage of technological progress.

To overcome the constraints of this study, it is recommended that future research integrates primary data-gathering methods such as case studies, questionnaires, and interviews with industry professionals. This technique enables the analysis of actual obstacles and achievements in the real world, providing practical insights that might guide plans for integrating AI [5]. That would provide a more thorough and up-to-date comprehension of the influence of AI on the manufacturing sector. Furthermore, carrying out longitudinal research to observe the lasting consequences of AI integration on manufacturing processes would aid in comprehending the developing characteristics of AI technologies and their enduring influence on operational efficiency, resilience, and transparency [56]. The significance of continuous research and adjustment to breakthroughs in artificial intelligence lies in ensuring that AI technologies are effectively and sustainably integrated into various systems, keeping pace with evolving challenges and maximizing their potential benefits.

Subsequent investigations should encompass a more comprehensive array of AI implementations and their effects on other facets of production, including personnel management, safety protocols, and ecological sustainability. Expanding the scope in this manner would offer a comprehensive perspective on the impact of AI in revolutionizing the manufacturing industry. Moreover, it is crucial to promote multidisciplinary collaboration among engineers, ethicists, and manufacturing specialists to create thorough and ethical frameworks for integrating AI. This methodology guarantees that AI systems are developed and executed in manners that preserve ethical principles and advance equity and openness [6]. Adopting a multidisciplinary approach to AI integration in manufacturing is crucial for addressing the complex ethical, technical, and operational challenges, ensuring that AI technologies are implemented responsibly and effectively. Collaborative endeavors involving multiple disciplines can effectively tackle AI's intricate ethical, technical, and operational obstacles, guaranteeing that its implementation in manufacturing is efficient and conscientious [15].

The study's findings contribute to the advancement of practice by offering a comprehensive framework for effectively incorporating AI into manufacturing operations. This framework improves the accuracy of operational forecasts and the dependability of quality control systems, resulting in more effective and environmentally friendly manufacturing practices. It promotes using AI-powered technology, backing worldwide sustainability efforts, and cultivating a more robust manufacturing infrastructure. AI enhances sustainable industrialization and ethical production practices by optimizing manufacturing processes and minimizing operational inefficiencies [16]. Enhancing precision in manufacturing procedures decreases in environmental harm and operating expenses as it aids in optimizing resource distribution, minimizing inefficiencies, and guaranteeing a consistent flow of goods.

This study has greatly improved the dependability and effectiveness of production processes. AI enhances the management of supply chain logistics and quality control by accurately predicting potential issues and minimizing the chances of disruptions, therefore ensuring consistent and reliable operations [22]. These enhancements optimize consumer happiness and minimize manufacturers' operating expenses. Incorporating technologies such as edge computing and blockchain improves data security and transparency, guaranteeing the safety and reliability of industrial procedures. The manufacturing sector's emphasis on both efficiency and trustworthiness positions it for long-term success and innovation, creating a more resilient and reliable manufacturing infrastructure [49].

The study emphasizes the significant capacity of AI to propel further progress in factory management. By incorporating upcoming technologies like, the processing capacities for complex manufacturing computations can be significantly improved, leading to new opportunities for optimizing manufacturing systems [14]. By embracing these technological breakthroughs, the manufacturing industry may attain unparalleled efficiency and dependability, setting a new norm for global manufacturing benchmarks. Managers must be updated on developing technology and be ready to incorporate them into their strategic plans. Consistent investment in AI technology and establishing appropriate legal frameworks are essential for entirely using its promise [18]. This proactive strategy will establish the manufacturing sector as a frontrunner in creating a dependable, robust, and environmentally friendly industrial system.

Conclusions

This study thoroughly explores the integration of AI tools such as predictive analytics, machine learning-based quality control, and AI-driven supply chain optimization in manufacturing processes. It provides a balanced evaluation of these technologies, highlighting both their transformative potential and the significant challenges they present. The core problem identified is that while these tools can substantially enhance manufacturing efficiency, resilience, and transparency, they also introduce complexities around bias mitigation, algorithmic transparency, and system resilience. The study's significance lies in its balanced evaluation of these technologies within manufacturing environments, aiming to inform policy and strategy development for their ethical and effective implementation, which in turn supports a more robust and equitable manufacturing sector.

One of this study's critical conclusions is machine learning's significant role in improving quality control within manufacturing processes. The capability of machine learning algorithms to analyze vast data sets and identify patterns for defect reduction and product consistency brings immense benefits [38]. However, challenges related to the transparency of the algorithms and data privacy issues necessitate the creation of job positions such as the AI Manufacturing Transparency Officer (AIMTO) and AI Manufacturing Data Security Officer (AIMDSO). These positions would help implement explainable AI to make decision-making processes more transparent and secure sensitive data, enhancing trust in AI applications.

Furthermore, AI's role in optimizing supply chain operations is another pivotal finding. AI technologies can improve decision-making through enhanced data analysis, leading to more efficient inventory management and forecasting [39]. However, the success of such systems relies heavily on the quality of data and acceptance of change within the organization. Special jobs like the AI Supply Chain Data Manager (AISCDM) and AI Change Management Officer (AICMO) can address these challenges, ensuring that AI tools are effectively integrated and contribute positively to the supply chain's resilience and efficiency.

The study also underscores the critical need for job positions like the AI Manufacturing Integrity Officer (AIMIO) and AI Manufacturing Ethics Officer (AIMEO) to tackle potential biases and ethical concerns. These officers would ensure rigorous testing and monitoring of AI systems, promoting fairness and transparency in AI-driven decisions. This approach is crucial in maintaining the integrity of manufacturing processes and building trust among stakeholders.

In summary, while AI technologies offer significant potential to revolutionize manufacturing, their successful integration requires careful consideration of operational and ethical challenges [55]. The conclusions drawn from this study emphasize the importance of developing roles and frameworks that support transparent, fair, and resilient manufacturing practices. The overall message is clear: AI's ethical and effective integration in manufacturing not only enhances operational efficiency but also ensures a balanced approach to technological advancement and human oversight.

References

1. Arinez JF, Chang Q, Gao RX, Xu C, Zhang J, Artificial intelligence in advanced manufacturing: current status and future outlook, ASME J Manuf Sci Eng, 2020 Nov,142(11),110804, doi: 10.1115/1.4047855
2. Plathottam SJ, Rzonca A, Lakhnori R, Iloeje CO, A review of artificial intelligence applications in manufacturing operations, J Adv Manuf Process, 2023, doi: 10.1002/amp2.10159
3. Tran KP, Artificial intelligence for smart manufacturing: methods and applications, Sensors, 2021, 21(16), 5584, doi: 10.3390/s21165584
4. Kehayov M, Holder L, Koch V, Application of artificial intelligence technology in the manufacturing process and purchasing and supply management, Procedia Comput Sci, 2022, 200, 1209-17, doi:10.1016/j.procs.2022.01.321
5. Kutz J, Neuhüttler J, Spilski J, Lachmann T, Implementation of AI Technologies in manufacturing - success factors and challenges, In: Leitner C, Ganz W, Bassano C, Satterfield D, editors, The Human Side of Service Engineering, AHFE 2022 International Conference, AHFE Open Access, vol 62, AHFE International, USA, 2022, http://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1002565
6. Balasubramanian S, Scholar II R, Integration of artificial intelligence in the manufacturing sector: a systematic review of applications and implications, Int J Prod Technol Manag, 2023 Mar 4, 14, 1-11, doi: 10.17605/OSF.IO/3XPWN
7. Guillen DP, Machine learning applications in advanced manufacturing processes, JOM, 2020, 72, 3906-3907, doi: 10.1007/s11837-020-04380-5
8. Frankó A, Varga P, A survey on machine learning based smart maintenance and quality control solutions, Infocommunications Journal, 2021 Dec, XIII(4), 28-35, doi: 10.36244/ICJ.2021.4.4
9. Tercan H, Meisen T, Machine learning and deep learning based predictive quality in manufacturing: a systematic review, J Intell Manuf, 2022, 33:1879-1905, doi: 10.1007/s10845-022-01963-8
10. Toorajipour R, Sohrabpour V, Nazarpour A, Oghazi P, Fischl M, Artificial intelligence in supply chain management: A systematic literature review, J Bus Res, 2021,122, 502-517, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.009
11. Elkady G, Sedky A, Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Supply Chain Resilience, Curr Integr Eng, 2023 Oct 31,1, 23–8, doi: 10.59762/cie570390541120231031122614
12. Varriale V, Cammarano A, Michelino F, Caputo M, Critical analysis of the impact of artificial intelligence integration with cutting-edge technologies for production systems, J Intell Manuf [Internet], 2023 Nov 13 [cited 2024 Jul 25], Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-023-02244-8
13. Soldatos J, editor, Artificial Intelligence in Manufacturing: Enabling intelligent, flexible and cost-effective production through AI [Internet], Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024 [cited 2024 Jul 25], Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-031-46452-2

14. Phuyal S, Bista D, Bista R, Challenges, opportunities and future directions of smart manufacturing: a state of art review, sustainable futures, 2020, 2, 100023, doi: 10.1016/j.sftr.2020.100023
15. Besinger P, Vejnoska D, Ansari F, Responsible AI (RAI) in manufacturing: a qualitative framework, Procedia Comput Sci, 2024, 232, 813-822, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2024.01.081
16. Gao RX, Krüger J, Merklein M, Möhring HC, Váncza J, Artificial intelligence in manufacturing: State of the art, perspectives, and future directions, CIRP Ann, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.cirp.2024.04.101
17. Hrnjica B, Softic S, Explainable AI in manufacturing: a predictive maintenance case study, In: Lalic B, Majstorovic V, Marjanovic U, von Cieminski G, Romero D, editors, Advances in Production Management Systems, Towards Smart and Digital Manufacturing, APMS 2020, IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, vol 592, Cham: Springer, 2020, p, 76-83, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-57997-5_8
18. Mozaffar M, Liao S, Xie X, Saha S, Park C, Cao J, Liu WK, Gan Z, Mechanistic artificial intelligence (mechanistic-AI) for modeling, design, and control of advanced manufacturing processes: Current state and perspectives, J Mater Process Technol, 2022, 302:117485, doi: 10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2021.117485
19. Chen C, Chao Y, Zhang X, The impact of artificial intelligence technology on the management of front-line employees in manufacturing enterprises: The proposal of a theoretical model, MATEC Web Conf, 2024, 395, 01048, doi: 10.1051/matecconf/202439501048
20. Xu J, Kovatsch M, Mattern D, Mazza F, Harasic M, Paschke A, et al, A review on AI for smart manufacturing: deep learning challenges and solutions, Appl Sci, 2022,12(16), 8239, doi: 10.3390/app12168239
21. Lee J, Ni J, Singh J, Jiang B, Azamfar M, Feng J, Intelligent maintenance systems and predictive Manufacturing, ASME, J Manuf Sci Eng, 2020,142(11),110805, doi: 10.1115/1.4047856
22. Gavade D, AI-driven process automation in manufacturing business administration: efficiency and cost-efficiency analysis, In: Proceedings of the 2023 Conference, 2023, p. 677–84, doi: 10.1049/icp.2024.1038
23. Adewusi A, Komolafe A, Ejairu E, Aderotoye I, Abiona O, Oyeniran O, The role of predictive analytics in optimizing supply chain resilience: A review of techniques and case studies, Int J Manag Entrep Res, 2024 Mar 23, 6:815–37, https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i3.938
24. Kim SW, Kong JH, Lee SW, Lee S, Recent advances of artificial intelligence in manufacturing industrial sectors: A review, Int J Precis Eng Manuf, 2021, 23, 111-129 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12541-021-00600-3
25. Bonada F, Echeverria L, Domingo X, Anzaldi G, AI for improving the overall equipment efficiency in manufacturing industry [Internet], In: New trends in the use of artificial intelligence for the Industry 4.0, IntechOpen, 2020, Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.89967
26. Buchmeister B, Palčič I, Ojsteršek R, Artificial intelligence in manufacturing companies and broader: an overview, DAAAM Int Sci Book 2019, 2019, 1-12, https://doi.org/10.2507/daaam.scibook.2019.07
27. Al-Jubori HN, Al-Darraji I, Tools and process of defect detection in automated manufacturing systems, EAI Endorsed Scal Inf Syst [Internet], 2023 Sep 27 [cited 2024 Jul 31],10(6), https://doi.org/10.4108/eetsis.4000
28. Ali S, Abuhmed T, El-Sappagh S, Muhammad K, Alonso-Moral JM, Confalonieri R, et al, Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI): what we know and what is left to attain trustworthy

artificial intelligence, Inf Fusion, 2023, 99, 101805, doi: 10.1016/j.inffus.2023.101805
29. Ghani EK, Ariffin N, Sukmadilaga C, Factors influencing artificial intelligence adoption in publicly listed manufacturing companies: a technology, organisation, and environment approach, Int J Appl Econ Financ Account, 2022, 14(2), 108-117, https://doi.org/10.33094/ijaefa.v14i2.667
30. Vyhmeister E, Gonzalez-Castane G, Östbergy PO, Risk as a driver for AI framework development on manufacturing, AI Ethics, 2023, 3, 155–174, https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00159-3
31. Elahi M, Afolaranmi SO, Martinez Lastra JL, et al, A comprehensive literature review of the applications of AI techniques through the lifecycle of industrial equipment, Discov Artif Intell, 2023, 3, 43, https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-023-00089-x
32. Bhat FA, Parvez S, Emerging challenges in the sustainable manufacturing system: from industry 4.0 to industry 5.0, J Inst Eng India Ser C [Internet], 2024 Apr 26 [cited 2024 Jul 25], Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40032-024-01046-y
33. Peretz-Andersson E, Tabares S, Mikalef P, Parida V, Artificial intelligence implementation in manufacturing SMEs: A resource orchestration approach, Int J Inf Manag, 2024, 77:102781, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2024.102781
34. Vassilakopoulou P, Hustad E, Bridging digital divides: a literature review and research agenda for information systems research, Inf Syst Front. 2023, 25, 955–969, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-10096-3
35. Mueller C, Mezhuyev V, AI models and methods in automotive manufacturing: a systematic literature review, In: Al-Emran M, Shaalan K, editors, Recent Innovations in Artificial Intelligence and Smart Applications, Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol 1061, Springer, Cham, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-14748-7_1
36. Hosseinzadeh A, Chen FF, Shahin M, Bouzary H, A predictive maintenance approach in manufacturing systems via AI-based early failure detection, Manuf Lett, 2023, 35(Suppl), 1179-1186, doi:10.1016/j.mfglet.2023.08.125
37. Modgil S, Singh R, Hannibal C, Artificial intelligence for supply chain resilience: learning from Covid-19, Int J Logist Manag, 2021, ahead-of-print, doi: 10.1108/IJLM-02-2021-0094
38. Fahle S, Prinz C, Kuhlenkötter B, Systematic review on machine learning (ML) methods for manufacturing processes – Identifying artificial intelligence (AI) methods for field application, Procedia CIRP, 2020, 93, 413-418, doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2020.04.109
39. Babica V, Sceulovs D, Business processes in the artificial transformation of industry 5.0, In Virtual Conference, 2024 [cited 2024 Jul 26], p. 170–6, Available from: https://www.iiis.org/DOI2024/ZA195KP
40. Okuyelu O, Adaji O, AI-driven real-time quality monitoring and process optimization for enhanced manufacturing performance, J Adv Math Comput Sci, 2024, 39(4), 81-89, doi:10.9734/jamcs/2024/v39i41883
41. Sharma R, Villányi B, Evaluation of corporate requirements for smart manufacturing systems using predictive analytics, Internet Things, 2022, 19, 100554, doi:10.1016/j.iot.2022.100554
42. Tran NT, Trieu HT, Tran VT, Ngo HH, Dao QK, An overview of the application of machine learning in predictive maintenance, Petrovietnam J, 2021,10, 47-61, doi:10.47800/PVJ.2021.10-05
43. Theissler A, Pérez-Velázquez J, Kettelgerdes M, Elger G, Predictive maintenance enabled by machine learning: Use cases and challenges in the automotive industry, Reliab Eng Syst Saf, 2021 Nov 1, 215,107864

44. Schmitt J, Bönig J, Borggräfe T, Beitinger G, Deuse J, Predictive model-based quality inspection using machine learning and edge cloud computing, Adv Eng Inform, 2020, 45, 101101, doi:10.1016/j.aei.2020.101101
45. Heymann H, Kies AD, Frye M, Schmitt RH, Boza A, Guideline for deployment of machine learning models for predictive quality in production, Procedia CIRP, 2022, 107, 815-820, doi:10.1016/j.procir.2022.05.068
46. Ejjami R, Boussalham K, Resilient Supply Chains in Industry 5.0: Leveraging AI for Predictive Maintenance and Risk Mitigation, IJFMR - Int J Multidiscip Res [Internet], 2024 [cited 2024 Jul 26];6(4), doi:10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i04.25116.
47. Belhadi A, Mani V, Kamble SS, Khan SAR, Verma S, Artificial intelligence-driven innovation for enhancing supply chain resilience and performance under the effect of supply chain dynamism: an empirical investigation, Ann Oper Res, 2021:1-26, doi:10.1007/s10479-021-03956-x
48. Pal S, Integrating AI in sustainable supply chain management: a new paradigm for enhanced transparency and sustainability, Int J Res Appl Sci Eng Technol, 2023, doi:10.22214/ijraset.2023.54139
49. Liu C, Tian W, Kan C, When AI meets additive manufacturing: Challenges and emerging opportunities for human-centered products development, J Manuf Syst, 2022, 64, 648-656, doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.04.010
50. Kelly S, Kaye SA, Oviedo-Trespalacios O, What factors contribute to the acceptance of artificial intelligence? a systematic review, Telemat Inform, 2023, 77, 101925, doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101925
51. Palm A, Innovation systems for technology diffusion: an analytical framework and two case studies, Technol Forecast Soc Change, 2022, 182, 121821, doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121821
52. Ali H, Human-Computer Interaction: Enhancing User Experience in Interactive Systems, Kufa J Eng, 2023 Oct 31, 14, 23–41, doi:10.1051/e3sconf/202339904037
53. Olatoye F, Awonuga K, Mhlongo N, Ibeh C, Elufioye O, Ndubuisi N, AI and ethics in business: a comprehensive review of responsible AI practices and corporate responsibility, Int J Sci Res Arch, 2024 Feb 28,11,1433–43, doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.1.0235
54. Sahu CK, Young C, Rai R, Artificial intelligence (AI) in augmented reality (AR)-assisted manufacturing applications: a review, Int J Prod Res, 2020, 59(16), 4903–4959, doi:10.1080/00207543.2020.1859636
55. Yang T, Yi X, Lu S, Johansson KH, Chai T, Intelligent manufacturing for the process industry driven by industrial artificial intelligence, Engineering, 2021,7(9), 1224–1230, doi:10.1016/j.eng.2021.04.023
56. Jamwal A, Agrawal R, Sharma M, Giallanza A, Industry 4.0 technologies for manufacturing sustainability: a systematic review and future research directions, Appl Sci, 2021,11(12),5725, doi:10.3390/app11125725
57. Torraco RJ, Writing integrative reviews of the literature: methods and purposes, Int J Adult Vocat Educ Technol, 2016, 7(3), 62-70, http://doi.org/10.4018/IJAVET.2016070106
58. Passey D, Theories, theoretical and conceptual frameworks, models and constructs: Limiting research outcomes through misconceptions and misunderstandings, Stud Technol Enhanc Learn, 2020, 1(1), https://doi.org/10.21428/8c225f6e.56810a1a

59. Cronin MA, George E, The why and how of the integrative review, Organ Res Methods, 2023, 26(1), 168-192, https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428120935507
60. Oermann MH, Knafl KA, Strategies for completing a successful integrative review, Nurse Author Ed, 2021, 31(3-4), 65-8, doi:10.1111/nae2.30
61. Taherdoost H, What are different research approaches? comprehensive review of qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method research, their applications, types, and limitations, J Manag Sci Eng Res, 2022, 5(1), 53-63, https://doi.org/10.30564/jmser.v5i1.4538
62. Cho Y, Comparing integrative and systematic literature reviews, Hum Resour Dev Rev, 2022, 21(2), 147-151, https://doi.org/10.1177/15344843221089053
63. Mitchell A, Rich M, Literature reviews: what are the challenges, and how can students and new business researchers be successful?, Electron J Bus Res Methods, 2022 Nov 7,20, https://doi.org/10.34190/ejbrm.20.3.2922
64. Elsbach K, Knippenberg D, Creating high-impact literature reviews: an argument for 'integrative reviews,' J Manag Stud, 2020 Apr 20, 57, https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12581
65. Ejjami R, Revolutionizing Moroccan education with AI: a path to customized learning, Int J Multidiscip Res, 2024 May 4, 6, doi:10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19462
66. Em, Sereyrath, Exploring experimental research: methodologies, designs, and applications across disciplines (2024), http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4801767
67. Lim WM, Kumar S, Ali F, Advancing knowledge through literature reviews: 'what', 'why', and 'how to contribute,' The Service Industries Journal, 2022, 42(7–8), 481–513, https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2022.2047941
68. Russell CL, An overview of the integrative research review, Prog Transplant, 2005, 15(1), 8-13, https://doi.org/10.1177/152692480501500102
69. Morse JM, Barrett M, Mayan M, Olson K, Spiers J, Verification strategies for establishing reliability and validity in qualitative research, Int J Qual Methods, 2002,1(2),13-22, https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690200100202
70. Snyder H, Literature review as a research methodology: an overview and guidelines, J Bus Res, 2019,104, 333-339, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
71. Toronto CE, Remington R, A Step-by-Step Guide to Conducting an Integrative Review, Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature, 2020, Volume 106, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-37504-1